ANTI-NUTRITIVE FACTORS, MINERAL PROFILE, *IN VITRO* GAS PRODUCTION AND FERMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME BROWSE FORAGE LEAVES

A. A. Njidda

Department of Animal Science and Fisheries, National Open University of Nigeria, KM4, Kaduna-Zaria road, Rigachukun, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Corresponding author's e-mail: ahmednjidda7@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The nutritive value of leaves from ten (10) different browse trees and shrubs were analyzed using the *in vitro* gas production. Crude protein (CP) contents in the browses ranged from 114.90 to 173.90 g.kg⁻¹ dry matter (DM). A range of 30.60 to 51.60 g kg⁻¹ DM were recorded for EE values for the eight browse plants.. The NDF, ADF and ADL were 412.10 to 688.10, 211.60 to 265.60, and 88.30 to 140.30 g.kg⁻¹ DM respectively. The values reported for antinutritive factors range from 0.08 to 0.39 for TCT, 0.31 to 0.71 for phenolics, 1.08 to 2.99 for Saponin, 4.58 to 8.00 for Oxalate, 2.22 to 7.33 for phytate. The values reported for minerals showed significant different (p<0.05) for all the macro minerals, this follow a similar pattern for the trace minerals except for cobalt and nickel. The *in vitro* gas production was highest (28.33 ml/200 g DM) and lowest (3.66 ml/200 g DM). The fermentation characteristic a, b, a+b, c, t, Y were highest at (3.67, 25.00, 28.33, 0.057, 18.00, and 11.33 respectively. All the gas production parameters differ significantly (P<0.05). Based on chemical composition and *in vitro* gas production results, it showed that the leaves of the browse forages had nutritive value and therefore, may serve as potential supplements for ruminants in Nigeria.

Key words: In vitro, browse, semi-arid, anti-nutritive, forage

INTRODUCTION

Forages and grain-based diets have similarly energy contents, yet productivity of ruminants fed grains is often twice that from good quality forages. The principal difference between grains and forages is the presence of lignified cell walls that account for 300-500 g kg⁻¹ forage DM. Cell walls are the dominant feed fraction for grazing ruminant. They comprise mainly cellulose and hemicellulose, and in legumes pectin, all of which are rapidly and extensively degraded by rumen micro flora when lignin is not present.

The use of *in vitro* gas production method to estimate digestion of feeds is based on measured relationship between the in vivo digestibility of feeds and chemical composition (Menke and Steingass, 1988), in vitro gas methods primarily measure digestion of soluble and insoluble carbohydrate (Menke and Steingass, 1988). The amount of gas produced from a feed on incubation reflects production of volatile fatty acids (VFA)., which are major sources of energy for ruminants. Gas arises directly from microbial degradation of feeds and indirectly from buffering of acids generated as a result of fermentation. The aim of this research is to evaluate the nutritive value of some selected browse forage leaves available as livestock feeds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of site and the samples

All forages were harvested from Gwoza local government area of Borno State. The area is located at Longitude 11.05° North and Latitude 30.05° East and at an elevation of about 364m above sea level in the North Eastern part of Nigeria. The ambient temperature ranges between 30° C and 42° C being the hottest period (March to June) while it is cold between November and February with temperatures ranging between 19 - 25° C (Njidda *et al.*, 2008).

Ten browse forages commonly found in the Semi-arid and derived Savannah zones were used in this experiment. The samples were sundried and milled and sub samples taken for analysis. The species included the following: Adansonia digitata, Anageisus *celecarpus*, Analgeosus *leocarpus*, Batryospermum paradoxum, Buahinea pentendra, nufescens, Ceiba Celtis integuifolis, Khaya senegalensis, Kigalia africana, Poupartia sirrea. The browse

forages were harvested from at least 10 trees per species selected at random in four locations within the study area at the end of rainy season.

Sample Preparation

About 500g of the harvested samples pooled weekly from each plant was oven dried at 105°C for 24hours, cooled and weighed. The weight difference between the initial weights and dried weights was taken as the moisture content of the leaves offered and then converted to percentage. Percent dry matter content was then obtained as the difference between 100 and percent moisture content (AOAC, 2002). The dried weekly samples were then bulked according to plant species and each shared into two portions. One portion was milled to pass through 1mm screen sieve, labelled and stored in sealed polythene bags for degradability and *in vitro* studies. The other portion was milled to pass through 1mm screen sieve, labelled and as well stored for proximate composition and anti-nutritional factor determinations.

Chemical Analysis

Triplicate samples of the thirty seven samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fibre (CF), Oxalate. Fluoroacetate and ash according to AOAC (2002) procedures. The dry matter content of the samples was as earlier described. One gram of each sample was used for the of ash determination by complete combustion in a furnace at 550°C for 4hours. The fibre fractions was determined according to the method of Van Soest et al. (1991).

Mineral analysis

The mineral contents of the browse leaves used in this experiment were analysed using the standard method of AOAC (2002). Calcium, Magnesium, iron, copper, zinc Selenium, Nikel and manganese were analyzed using the atomic absorption spectrophotometery (Zohary, 1973). Phosphorus was determined according to vanadomolybdophosphoric the acid method (Shiou, 1996) using а spectrophotometer (Jenway 6100, UK) while the flame photometer was used to estimate sodium and potassium contents.

Anti-Nutritional Factors Assessment in the Samples

Some anti-nutritional constituents that were determined in the browses include Phytate estimated as phytic acid using the method prescribed by Maga (1982), while hydrogencyanide (HCN) was determined by the Knowels and Watkins distillation method as described by Pearson (1976). Saponins and total condensed tannin were determined as reported by (Babayemi *et al.*, 2004a) and (Polshettiwar *et al.*, 2007). Finally, Phenolics were determined using Folin Ciocalteu metho as described by Makkar (2000).

In-vitro gas production study

Management of the Animals

Rumen fluid was obtained from three West African dwarf Sheep using a suction tube before morning feeding. The goat were fed 60 % concentrate (40 % corn, 10 % wheat offal, 10 % palm kernel cake, 20 % groundnut cake, 5 % soybean meal,10% dried brewers grain, 1 % common salt, 3.75 % oyster shell and 0.25 % fish meal) and 40 % Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*).

Incubation of samples

The incubation procedure was as reported by (Menke and Steingass, 1988). The 120 ml calibrated syringes fitted with silicon tube at the mouth were used while the incubation was in three batch incubation. The incubation temperature was maintained at 39 ± 1 °C. The buffer containing (9.8g NaHCO₃ + 2.77g Na₂ HPO₄ +0.57g KCl + 0.47g NaCl + 0.12g MgSO₄ .7H₂O + 0.16g 1 litre CaCl₂.2H₂O) (1:4, v/v) was used and kept in the incubator for warming. About 200 mg of the feed sample (substrate) was measured and introduced into the syringe after removing the plunger. The plunger was replaced by pushing the substrate upward the syringe. The rumen liquor was strained through a four layer cheese cloth. Rumen liquor and buffer were mixed together (1:4, v/v) as inoculums, all under continuous flushing with streams of CO2. Using 120 ml capacity syringe, 30 ml of inoculums was dispensed into the substrate through the silicon tube. The plunger was pushed upward by pushing the inoculums to the tip of the syringe. Thereafter, the silicon was tightened with a metal clip. The gas production was measured from the

calibrated syringe at 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour.

$$G=a+b(1-e^{-ct})$$

Where:

G = is the gas production (ml) at time t

a = is the gas production from the immediately soluble fraction (ml),

b = is the gas production from the insoluble but degradable fraction (ml),

a + b = is the potential gas production (ml), c = is the rate constant of gas production (fraction/h).

Statistical analysis

Data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance. Where significant differences occurred, the means were separated using Duncan multiple range F-test of the SAS (1988) options.

RESULTS

Proximate composition

The chemical composition of the browse forage leaves determined in this study is presented in Table 1. Generally, the examined plant leaves had high crude protein content with values ranging from a low value of 114.90 g kg⁻¹ DM in Bauhinea nufescecens to 160.00 g kg⁻¹ DM in Adansonia digitata. The range for ether extract in the browse was 30.30 g kg⁻¹ DM in *Khaya senegalensis* to 51.60 g kg⁻¹ DM in Poupartia sirrea. Values obtained for organic matter content of the browse forages ranged from 742.60% in Poupartia sirrea to 868.70 g kg⁻¹ DM in *Khaya senegalensis*. The highest neutral detergent fibre content of 595.90 g kg⁻¹ DM was recorded in Celtis integuifolis while Adansonia digitata had the lowest value of 412.10 g kg⁻¹ DM. The acid detergent fibre levels in the experimental leaves ranged from 211.60 g kg⁻¹ DM in *Khaya senegalensis* to 265.60 g kg⁻¹ DM in *Batryospermum* paradoxum. The least lignin content of 88.30 g kg⁻¹ DM in the browse forages was recorded in Anageisus celecarpus while Poupartia sirrea had the highest value of 140.30 g kg⁻¹ DM.

Anti-nutritional factor levels of semiarid browse forages

The result of the anti-nutritional constituents in the browse forage leaves is shown in Figure 1. Total condensed tannin varied from 0.08 mg/g Dm in *Kigalia africana* to 0.39 mg/g DM in *Celtis integuifolis*. A range of 0.31 mg/g DM in *Analgeousus leocarpus*, and *Poupartia sirrea* to 0.71 mg/g in *Ceiba pentendra* was

obtained for phenolic. Saponin content of the experimental leaves range from 1.08 mg/g DM in *Poupartia sirrea* to 2.99 mg/g DM in *Ceiba pentendra*. Oxalate in the browses used ranged from 4.58 mg/g DM in *Ceitis integuifolis* to 8.00 mg/g DM in *Batryospermum paradoxum*. The highest value of 7.33 mg/g DM was obtained in *Kigalia africana* while *Ceiba pentendra* had the lowest value of 2.22 mg/g DM for Phytic acid in the browses studied.

Macro mineral concentration of semiarid browse forages

result of the macro mineral The concentration is shown in Table 2. Leaves from Analgeosus leocarpus had the highest calcium amongst the browses with 13.20 g kg^{-1} DM which dropped to 7.60 g kg^{-1} DM in Buahenia nufescens. Phosphorus had the highest recorded level of macro mineral (271.80 g kg⁻¹ DM) in Ceiba pentendra while *Kigalia africana* with 102.50 g kg⁻¹ DM had the lowest level. The magnesium level was highest with a value of 10.40 g kg ¹ DM in *Celtis integuifolis* and lowest with a value of 1.70 g kg⁻¹ DM Kigalia africana. The sodium concentration in the browse forages were generally low with levels less than 1.50 g kg⁻¹ DM for the browse forage Potassium concentration leaves. in *Poupartia sirrea* was significantly (p<0.05) higher (120.00 g kg⁻¹ DM) than all the browses studied while Bauhinea *nufescence* had the lowest value (6.30 g kg⁻) ¹ DM) amongst the browse forages.

Trace mineral concentration of semiarid browse forages

Table 3. Showed the composition of micro minerals estimated in the browse forages used in this experiment. The iron content of the browse forages ranged between 1.216 mg/g DM in Ceiba pentendra to 16.24 mg/g DM in Kigalia africana. Significant difference (p<0.05) were observed among browse forages for zinc with Adansonia digitata having the highest while Poupartia sirrea having the lowest value of 1.064 mg/g DM. The cobalt and Nickel content of the browse forage leaves was generally low for all the browse forage leaves (below 0.012 and 0.032 mg g^{-1} DM) and showed no significant differences among browse forages. Among the browse forages, Kigalia africana having the highest value of 2.923 mg/g DM while Poupartia sirrea

had the lowest concentration of 0.234 mg g⁻¹ DM.

In vitro gas production

The *in vitro* cumulative gas production after 96 h, potential gas production (asymptotics gas production; fraction b), and rate of gas production (fraction c) of the browse forges are presented in Figure 2. The forages significantly (P<0.05) differ in the gas production and fermentation characteristics. Adansonia digitata produced the highest gas production (28.33) ml/200 mg DM) throughout the incubation period from 3 to 96 h while Analgeousus *leocarpus* produced the least gas volume of 3.66 ml/200 mg DM at 96 h.

Fermentation characteristics of semiarid browse forages

The gas production from the immediately soluble fraction 'a' as shown in Table 4 is generally low for all the browse forages with values ranging from 1.33 in leocarpus Analgeosus and Buahenia nufescens to 3.67 in Anageisus celecarpus. The fermentation of the insoluble but degradable fraction 'b' is shown in Table 4. The value for 'b' was highest in Adansonia 28.33 ml) and least in Analgeosus leocarpus (2.67 ml). The potential gas production 'a+b' was observed to be low for all the browse forages with the highest value (28.33 ml) in Adansonia digitata and the least value (4.00 ml) in Analgeosus leocarpus. The gas production 'Y' at time't' ranged between 3.50 in Analgeosus leocarpus and 11.33 in Adansonia digitata.

DISCUSSION

The Crude protein (CP) content of Adansonia digitata is higher than the other species. The CP of the browse species ranged from 114.90 to 160.00 g kg⁻¹ DM, which is above the 7% CP requirement for ruminants and could provide ammonia required for optimum microbial activity in the rumen (Norton, 2003). The values also falls within the range reported by Njidda et al. (2010) and Njidda et al. (2013c). The high CP content of browse species is one of the main distinctive characteristic of browse forages compared to most grasses. The NDF, ADF and ADL values of the experimental diets were higher than earlier reports on the tropical forage species (Njidda 2008, Njidda et al. 2012a; Njidda et al. 2012b and Njidda et al. 2016).

Difference in compositions may be due to variation in age, environmental and soil conditions and climatic factors. Although the NDF was slightly higher than the recommended value of 20-35% for effective ruminal degradation (Norton 1994; Bakshi and Wadhwa 2004; Njidda et al. 2013b), it was lower than 60% value at which feed intake is depressed (Meissner et al., 1991). This species also had a high lignin content ranging from 88.30 to 140.30 g kg⁻¹ DM. Lignin is a component of the cell wall and deposited as part of the cell wallthickening process (Boudet, 1998) and it is in generally higher in browse (Njidda 2010; Njidda et al. 2012b and Njidda et al. 2016) than in herbaceous plants (Boudet, 1998). Positive correlations were reported between contents of lignin and soluble or insoluble proanthocyanidins (Rittner and Reed, 1992; Njidda 2011). The total condensed tannins (TCT) ranged from 0.08 mg/g to 0.39 mg/gDM. The level is lower than the range of 60 to100g Kg DM that is considered to depress feed intake and growth (Barry and Duncan, 1984) and Njidda (2011) (*n*=37). However, in ruminants, dietary condensed tannins of 2 to 3% have been shown to have beneficial effects because they reduce the protein degradation in the rumen by the formation of a protein-tannin complex (Barry, 1987).

The values for the phenolic content were within the range reported by Njidda (2011) (n=37). Phenolic compounds are the largest single group of SPCs, and total phenolics in plants can reach up to 40% of the dry matter (Reed 1986; Tanner *et al.*, 1990). In grasses, the major phenolic is lignin that is bound to all plant cell walls, and is a significant limiting factor in their digestion in the rumen (Minson, 1990).

Feedstuffs containing saponin had been shown be defaunating to agents (Teferedegne, 2000) and capable of reducing methane production (Babayemi et al. 2004b). Cheeke (1971) reported that saponin have effect on erythrocyte haemolysis, reduction of blood and liver cholesterol, depression of growth rate, bloat (ruminant) inhibition of smooth muscle activity, enzyme inhibition and reduction in nutrient absorption. Saponins have been reported to alter cell wall permeability and therefore to produce some toxic effect when ingested (Belmar et al., 1999). The values (1.08 to 99 mg g^{-1} DM) reported in this

present study is low compare to values reported by other authors.

Oxalate content in this present study was low. It has been reported that 20g/kg oxalate can be lethal to chicken (Acamovic et al., 2004). Oxalate has been shown to deplete the calcium reserve, but these browse species were found to contain resonable amount of calcium, magnesium and phosphorus (Le Houerou, 1980; Akinsoyinu and Onwuka, 1988). The phytin levels reported in this study ranged from 2.22 to 7.33 mg g^{-1} DM for northeastern browse forages, which is lower than 13.80 to 25.20 mg/g DM reported by Okoli et al. (2003) for the southeastern browses in Nigeria. These levels are unlikely to have any adverse effects on ruminants.

Mineral composition

More than 90% of the browse forages had higher Ca than the recommended requirements (g kg⁻¹ DM diet) for growing cattle (2.6 - 10.8), pregnant cows (2.1-3.5) and lactating cows (2.9-5.3), (Shamat et al., 2009). Variations in the levels of Ca from these present study could be partly explained by the mature forage species, species composition, and variations in soil characteristics due to location of the different browse forages. The browse forages had higher levels of P than values obtained from other parts of the world. Aganga and Mesho (2008) reported lower values of P for browse forages in Botswana and Shamat et al. (2009) for browses in Sudan. The variation in the content of observed P could be due to the available soil P and soil pH, browse growth stage and proportions of leaf and stem fractions harvested for mineral analyses and sampling season. Browse and forage plants had higher concentrations of P than the normal requirements of P (g kg⁻¹ DM diet) of growing cattle (1.1-4.8), pregnant heifers and cows (0.9-2.0) and lactating cows (2.0 - 30), suggesting nutritional adequacy for livestock. Norton (1994) and Njidda et al. (2011) reported that browses are generally high in phosphorus. All the browse samples had sufficient Mg level as report in Khan et al. (2007). Based on Minson (1990) recommendation (2.0 g kg⁻¹ DM) Mg in the diets of ruminants, the browse plants had higher levels of Mg. Shamat et al. (2009) reported that Mg was

not limiting in tropical forages, although Jumba et al. (1996) reported exceptionally low Mg concentrations in Kenya. Sodium level is adequate compared to normal levels (0.36 to 0.37% DM) reported in Shamat et al. (2009) for other browse forages of other regions. The level reported in this study was below the Na requirements (0.8 - 1.2%)DM) for cattle. There seem to be a general agreement that Na is deficient in most tropical grasses (Areghoere, 2002). Sodium deficiency can be corrected by providing common salt ad libitum which can also satisfy the requirement for chloride (McDowell, 1985). The need for Na is particularly pronounced in hot weather to compensate for losses due to respiration and perspiration. Potassium is reported to be extremely mobile in plants and is translocated from the oldest to the fastest growing tissues (Gomide et al., 1969). However, it has been suggested that high producing ruminants may require K level above 10 mg kg⁻¹, under stress, particularly heat stress (Khan et al., 2005). Potassium concentrations similar to levels found in this study have been reported by Ogebe *et* al. (1995) in Nigeria. The plant species had high concentrations of Fe that were comparable to high the levels (100-700 mg kg⁻¹ DM) reported for tropical grasses and legumes (McDowell, 1992). These species had higher levels of Fe than tabulated requirements for dairy and beef cattle (50 mg kg⁻¹ DM) (Khan *et al.*, 2009). Although its availability could vary because Fe is absorbed according to the need, and thus its absorption would depend on dietary factors, age of the animal and body Fe status. Forage Zn concentration was also above the requirements for ruminants during winter as earlier reported in Reuter and Robinson (1997). It has been suggested that 30 mg/kg Zn is a critical dietary level, although it has been recommended that concentrations of 12-20 mg kg⁻¹ DM are adequate for growing ruminants (Anon., 1980). Almost similar results were reported by Tiffany et al. (2001) in North Florida. Cobalt is a serious mineral limitation to livestock because even when grazing is abundant, deficiency will lead to chronic starvation or wasting which is often indistinguishable from energy and protein mal-nutrition (McDowell et al., 1984). The concentration of Co observed in this study was comparable to that in most tropical grasses $(<0.01 \text{ to } 1.26 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM})$ as reported by

Minson (1990). The browse forages had higher levels of Co than the dietary recommended levels for cattle (0.06 - 0.7)mg kg⁻¹ DM), (ARC. 1980) and sheep and goats (0.11 mg kg⁻¹ DM) (ARC. 1980). The browses had moderate levels of Mn that were comparable to the contents of Mn in pastures and established legumes (14 - 148)mg/kg DM) (Minson, 1990). There was a high Mn concentration in the forage during the dry season possibly because of low rates of Mn translocation and accumulation of Mn in older tissues (Khan *et al.*, 2009). All the plant species had higher levels of Mn than the normal dietary requirements of 20 $-40 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM (NRC, 2001), although,}$ its supply could be lowered by its low absorbability efficiency from forage. However, Mn may interfere with the metabolism of other minerals and may result in low reproductive rates of cattle (McDowell *et al.*, 1984). Selenium is a very important trace mineral.

The level of selenium in the studied browses ranged from 0.012 to 0.410 mg g^{-1} DM. Reproductive problems, retained placenta, white muscle disease and an inadequate immune system (leading to mastitis and metritis) may result when selenium is deficient in livestock rations. Selenium levels of 100 to over 9000 mg/Kg can be found in selenium accumulator plants (Johnson and Larson 1999). Consumption of these plants leads to rapid death. Chronic toxicity can occur at 5 mg g⁻¹ DM (Brooks, 1998). The level of nickel ranged from 0.006 to 0.042 mg g^{-1} DM with a low overall mean of 0.025 mg g^{-1} DM for the browses. Nickel concentration ranged widely from 0.08 to 0.35 mg g^{-1} DM with a low overall mean of 0.18 mg g^{-1} DM. The concentration is not influence by dietary nickel intake in animals. The values recorded for Ni were above toxic levels suggested for typical plants (Tokalioglu and Kartal, 2005).

Gas production on incubation of feeds in buffered rumen fluid is associated with feed fermentation, and carbohydrate fractions, the low gas production from *Analgeosus leocarpus* and other browse forages characterized with low gas production could be related to low feeding value of these feeds. These browse forages contains more than 40 % of its dry matter in the form of cellulose and hemicellulose but its

degradability is very low. One of the main reasons for this low degradability is the presence of lignin which protects carbohydrates from attack by rumen microbes. Incubation of feedstuff with buffered rumen fluid in vitro, the carbohydrates are fermented to short chain fatty acids (SCFA), gases, mainly CO₂ and CH4, and microbial cells. Gas production is basically the result of fermentation of carbohydrates to acetate, propionate and butyrate (Steingass and Menke, 1986) and substantial changes in carbohydrate fractions were reflected by total gas produced (Deaville and Givens 2001). Gas production from protein fermentation is small relatively as compared to carbohydrate while, fermentation contribution of fat to gas production is negligible (Wolin, 1960). Other researchers have reported similar findings with plants that are known to contain plant secondary compound (PSC) that can affect rumen microbes when examined in vitro (Tefera et al., 2008). While legumes are reported to contain tannins that can reduce fermentation parameters (Tefera *et al.* 2008) for others, such as the genus Leptadenia, the effect may be related to different classes of bioactive PSC (Ghisalberti, 1994).

Kinetics of gas production obtained from the exponential model is presented in Table 4. Both rate constants b and c showed significant differences among browse forages. Similarly, the extent (a + b) of gas volumes was higher for Adansonia digitata than for trees. Khazaal et al. (1995) indicated that the intake of a feed is mostly explained by the rate of gas production (c) which affects the rate of passage of the feed through the rumen, whereas the potential gas production (a + b), is associated with the degradability of the feed. Thus, the higher values obtained for the (c) and (a +b) parameters in the browse forages, may indicate a better nutrient availability for rumen microorganisms in animals grazing such vegetative species in semi-arid areas.

CONCLUSION

The browse species evaluated in the current study had high CP content which would make them good protein supplements to poor quality roughages, especially during the dry season in the semi-arid region of Nigeria. The macro and micro minerals are high and can meet the requirement of ruminant animal animals. The gas production was significantly low for most of the browse forages despite the high CP content. The low degradation may be attributed to the high lignification of the browse plants.

REFERENCES

Acamovic, T., Steward, C. S. and Pennycott, T. W. (eds) (2004). Poisons plants and related toxins. Oxford University Press, 608pp

Aganga, A. A. and E. O. Mesho (2008). Mineral contents of browse plants in Kweneng District in Botswana. Agricultural Journal 3(2): 93-98.

Agricultural Research council (ARC, 1980). The nutrient requirement of ruminal livestock. Technical Review. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux.

Akinsoyinu, A. O. and Onwuka, C. F. I. (1988). Mineral constituents of some browse plants used in ruminant feeding in southern Nigeria. *Nigerian J. Ani. Prod.* 15: 57-62.

Anonymous. (1980).The Nutrient Requirements of Ruminant Livestock. 4th edition CAB International, Wallingford.

AOAC. (2002). Official Methods of Analysis. 16th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.

Aregheore, E. M. (2002). Chemical evaluation and digestibility of cocoa (Theobroma cacao) by-products fed to goats. Tropical Animal and Health Production 34(4): 339-348.

Babayemi, O. J., Demeyer, D. and Fievez, V. (2004a). Nutritional value of qualitative assessment of secondary compound in seeds of eight tropical browse, shrub and pulse legumes. *Comm. Appl. Biol. Sci.* Ghent University, 69/1: 103-110.

Babayemi, O. J., Demeyer, D. and Fievez, V. (2004b). *In vitro* fermentation of tropical browse seeds in relation of their content of secondary metabolites. *J. Ani. Feed Sci.* 13 Suppl. 1: 31-34.

Bakshi, M. P. S. and Wadhwa, M. (2004). Evaluation of forest leaves of semi-hilly arid region as livestock feed. Asian-Australasian. J. Ani. Sci.. 95: 93-104. Barry, H. J. (1987). Secondary compounds of forages. In: Nutrition of herbivores. Hacker, J.B. and Ternouth, J. H. (eds) A. P. Sydney pp. 91-120.

Barry, T. N. and Duncan, S. J. (1984). The role of condense tannins in the nutritional value of *Lotus pedunculatus* for sheep. I. Voluntary intake. Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists 65: 496-497.

Belmar, R., Nava-Montero, R., Sandoval-Castro, C. and Menab, J. M. (1999). Jackbean (*canuvalia ensiforms* L. DC) in poultry diets: Anti-nutritional factors and detoxification studies. A. Review. *Poultry Sci. J.* 55 (1) 37-59.

Boudet, A.M. (1998). A new view of lignification. *Trends in Plant Science*, 3, 67-71.

Brooks, R. R. (1998). Plants that hyper accumulate heavy metals. Cab International: New York, 295-380.

Cheeke, P. R. (1971). Nutritional and Physiological implication Saponins: A Review.Canadian *J. Ani. Sci.* 51: 621-623

Deaville, E.R. and D. I Givens (2001). Use of automated gas production technique to determine the fermentation kinetics of carbohydrate fractions in maize silage. Animal Feed Science and Technology 93: 205-211.

Ghisalberti, E. L., (1994). The ethnopharmacology and phytochemistry of Eremophila species (*Myoporaceae*). Journal of Ethnopharmacology 44, 1–9.

Gomide, J.A., C.H. Noller, G.O. Mott, J.H. Conrad and D.L. Hill, (1969). Mineral composition of six tropical grasses as influenced by plant age and nitrogen fertilization. Agronomy Journal 61: 120-123.

Johnson, J. F. and G. Larson (1999). Grassland plants of South Dakota and the northern Great Plains. South Dakota State University. Brookings, South Dakota.

Jumba, I.O., N. F. Suttle and S. O. Wandiga (1996). Mineral composition of tropical forages in the Youssef F.G. and Braithwaite, R.A.I. 1987. The mineral profile of some tropical grasses in Trinidad. Tropical Agriculture 64(2): 112-128. Khan, Z. I., M. Ashraf, A. Hussain, and L. R. McDowell (2005). Seasonal variation of trace elements in a semi-arid veld pasture. Comm. Soil Science Plant analysis 37: 1471-1484.

Khan, Z.I., A. Hussain, M. Ashraf, M.Y. Ashraf, L.R. McDowell and B. Huchzermeyer. (2007). Copper nutrition of goats grazing native and improved pasture with seasonal variation in a semiarid region of Pakistan. Small Ruminant Research 67: 138-148.

Khan, Z. I., M. Ashraf, K. Ahmad N. Ahmad, M. Danish and E. E. Valeem (2009). Evaluation of mineral composition of forages for grazing ruminants in Pakistan. Pak. Journal Botany 41(5): 2465-2476.

Khazaal K., M. T. Dentino, J. M. Ribeiro, and E. R. Ørskov (1995). Prediction of apparent digestibility and voluntary intake of hays fed to sheep: comparison between using fibre components, *in vitro* digestibility or characteristics of gas production or nylon bag degradation. Animal Science 61: 527-538.

Makkar, H. P. S. (2000). Quantification of tannins in tree foliage-a laboratory manual; a joint FAO/IAEA working document, Vienna, Austria.

McDowell, L.R., J.H. Conrad and G.L. Ellis (1984). Mineral deficiencies and imbalances and their diagnosis. In: F.C.M. Gilchrist and R.J. Mackie (eds), Herbivore nutrition in the subtropics and tropics. The Science Press.

McDowell, L.R. (1985). In: Nutrition of Grazing Ruminants in Warm Climates. Academic Press New York, pp-443.

Meissner, H. H., M. D. Viljoen, and W. A. (1991). Intake Van Nierkeki and digestibility by sheep of Anthephora, Panicum, Rhode and Smuts finger grass Proceeding of pastures: the IVth Rangeland International Congress, September1991. Montipellier, France, pp 648-649.

Menke, K. H.and H. Steingass (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid.

Animal Research and Development 28: 7-55.

Minson, D.J., (1990). Forage I ruminant nutrition. Academic Press Inc., London, UK. 483.

Njidda, A.A. (2008). The effect of protein and energy supplementation on the growth performance of grazing sheep during the wet season. Nig. J. Exp. Applied Biol., 9: 17-22.

Njidda, A. A., I. Ikhimioya and Aliyu, I. (2008). Nutritive evaluation of some browsable trees and shrubs leaves from semi-arid region of Nigeria. Journal of Arid Agriculture 18: 21-27

Njidda, A.A. (2010). Chemical Composition, Fibre Fraction and Anti-Nutritional Substances of Semi-arid Browse Forages of North-Eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 18(2): 181-188.

Njidda, A. A. (2011). Evaluation of the potential nutritive value of browse forages of semi-arid region of Nigeria. Ph D. Thesis, Ambrose Alli University, Nigeria. 219pp.

Njidda, A. A., Ikhimioya, I. and Muhammad, B. F. (2012a). *In sacco* and *in vitro* degradation of selected indigenous semi-arid Nigerian browses. Biological and Environmental Sciences Journal for the Tropics 9(1): 56-61.

Njidda, A. A., Ikhimioya, I. and Muhammad, B. F. (2012b). *In situ cellulose* and hemicellulose disappearance and fermentation characteristics of some semiarid fodder plants use as feeds for ruminants. Biological and Environmental Sciences Journal for the Tropics 9(1): 79-85.

Njidda, A. A., Olatunji, E. A. and Okoruwa, M. I. (2012c) *In situ* degradability of dry matter of browse forages consumed by ruminants in the semi-arid region of northern Nigeria. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare 2 (9): 39-43.

Njidda AA, I Ikhimioya and CE Isidahomen, (2013c). *In situ* crude protein degradation and mineral composition of browse forages of semi-arid Nigeria. Inter J Agri Biosci, 2(5): 286-296. Njidda, A.A., Olafadehan, A. O. and Alkali, H. A. (2016). Dry matter degradability of five *ficus* species using *in situ* and *in vitro* techniques. *J. Anim. Prod. Res.* 28(2):11-27.

Norton, B.W. (1994). Tree legumes as dietary supplements for ruminants. pp. 192-201. In: Gutteridge R. C. and h. M. Shelton. Forage tree legumes in tropical Agriculture (AB International).

NRC. (2001). Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle, 7th revised ed. Washington, D.C.

Norton, B.W. (2003). The Nutritive value of tree legumes. <u>http://www.fao.org/ag/AGPC/doc/Publicat</u> /<u>Gutt-shel/x5556e0j.htm.pp.1-10</u>.

Ogebe, P. O. and J.A. Ayoade, L. R. McDowell, F. G. Martin and N. S. Wilkinson (1995). Mineral concentrations of forages and soils in Benue State, Nigeria. I. Macrominerals and forage *in vitro* organic matter digestibility and crude protein concentrations. Communication Soil Science and Plant analysis 26: 1989-2007.

Okoli, I. C., Maureen, O., Anunobi, O., Obua, B. E. and Enemuo, V. (2003). Studies on selected browses of southeastern Nigeria with particular reference to their proximate and some endogenous antinutritional constituents. *Livestock Res. Rural Dev.* 15 (9): 3-7.

Pearson, D. (1976). The chemical analysis of foods. Churchill Livingston, Edinburgh. Pp. 352-354.

Polshettiwar, S. A., Ganjiwale, R. O., Wadher, S. J. and Yeole, P. G. (2007). Spectrophotometric estimation of total tannins in some ayurvedic eye drops. *Indian J. Pharmaceutical Sci.*. 69 (4):574-576.

Reed, J.D. (1986). Relationship among soluble phenolics, insoluble proanthocyanidins and fibre in East African browse species. J. Range Management, 39: 5–7.

Reuter, D. J. and J. B. Robinson. (1997). *Plant Analysis. An Interpretation Manual.* 2nd ed. CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne.

Rittner, U. and Reed, J.D. (1992). Phenolics and *in vitro* degradability of protein and

fiber in West African browse. J. Sci. Food and Agriculture 58, 21-28.

Shamat, A. M., I. A. Babiker, A. M. S. Mukhtar and F. A. Ahmed (2009). Seasonal and regional variations in mineral content of some important plants species selected by camels (*Camelus dromedaries*) in arid and semi-arid lands (ASAL) of Sudan. Journal of Applied Science Research 5(10): 1676-1684.

Shio, K. (1996). Phosphorus. In: D.L. Sparks (Eds). Method of Soil Analysis: Chemical Methods. Part 3. Pp. 869-921. SSSA, Madison, WI.

Steingass, H. and K.H. Menke, (1986). Schatzung des energetischen Futterwertes aus der *in vitro* mit Pansensaft "bestimmten Gasbildung und der chemischen Analyse.*Tierernahrung*, 14, 251.

Tanner, J.C., Reed, J.D. and Owen, E. 1990. The nutritive value of fruits (pods with seeds) from four *Acacia* spp. Compared with noug (*Guizotia abyssinica*) meal as supplements to maize stover for Ethiopian highland sheep. *Ani. Prod.*, 51: 127–133.

Teferedegne, B. (2000). New perspectives on the use of tropical plants to improve ruminant nutrition. Pro. Nutr. Soc., 59: 209-214.

Tefera, S., Mlamboa, V., Dlamini, B.J., Dlamini, A.M., Koralagama, K.D.N., Mould, F.L., (2008). Chemical composition and *in vitro* ruminal fermentation of common tree forages in the semi-arid rangelands of Swaziland. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 142, 99–110.

Tiffany, M.E., L.R. McDowell, G.A. O'Connor, H. Nguyen, F.G. Martin, N.S. Wilkinson and N.A. Katzowitz. (2001). Effects of residual and reapplied biosolids on forage and soil concentrations over a grazing season in north Florida. II. Microminerals. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 32: 2211-2226.

Tokalioglu, S and S. Kartal (2005). Determination of Cu, Pb, Cd, Ni, Cr, Co, Mn, Fe and Zn in algae and vegetable samples using wet and dry ashing procedure. Trace Element and Electro. 22: 169-173.

Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson, B. Lewis, B. (1991). Methods for dietary fibre, neutral

detergent fibre, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal Dairy Scieince 74: 3583-3597.


Wolin, M. J. (1960). A theoretical rumen fermentation balance. *Journal of Dairy Science* 43, 1452-1459.

Zohary, M. (1973). Geobotanical foundations of the Middle East. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart.

•		0	, ,			
Browse Forages	СР	EE	OM	NDF	ADF	ADL
Adansonia digitata	160.00ª	30.60 ^e	809.70 ^d	412.10 ⁱ	219.70 ^g	116.30 ^d
Anageisus celecarpus	149.10 ^d	31.60 ^d	838.00 ^d	542.80 ^e	231.30 ^f	88.30 ⁱ
Analgeosus leocarpus	150.70 ^d	51.60ª	848.30 ^c	542.10 ^e	241.80 ^e	130.00 ^b
Batryospermum paradoxum	145.90 ^c	50.00ª	859.00 ^b	572.30 ^d	265.60ª	112.60 ^e
Buahinea nufescens	114.90 ^h	47.30 ^b	812.70 ^f	493.10 ^g	231.40 ^f	93.70 ^h
Ceiba pentendra	173.90ª	31.60 ^d	828.00 ^e	514.60 ^f	244.80 ^d	112.40 ^e
Celtis integuifolis	153.60 ^c	31.00 ^d	794.60 ^g	595.90 ^b	246.00 ^c	105.60 ^f
Khaya senegalensis	139.60 ^e	30.30 ^e	868.70 ^ª	486.20 ^h	211.60 ^h	121.00 ^c
Kigalia africana	134.02 ^f	34.60 ^c	766.70 ^h	688.10ª	255.20 ^b	97.00 ^g
Poupartia sirrea	132.20 ^g	51.60ª	742.60 ⁱ	591.20 ^c	230.30 ^f	140.30ª
SEM	1.25	1.35	2.64	3.25	2.07	1.86

Table 1. Chemical composition of the browse forages (g kg⁻¹ DM)

CP=crude protein; EE=ether extract; OM=Organic matter; NDF=neutral detergent fibre; ADF=acid detergent fibre; ADL=acid detergent fibre



Browse Forages	Са	Р	Mg	Na	К
Adansonia digitata	9.60 ^d	212.50 ^d	5.60 ^c	0.90 ^b	19.30 ^f
Anageisus celecarpus	10.80 ^c	203.70 ^e	5.30 ^c	0.50 ^f	14.80 ^h
Analgeosus leocarpus	13.20 ^b	203.30 ^e	3.10 ^d	0.60 ^e	18.50 ^g
Batryospermum paradoxum	12.00 ^b	110.70 ^g	3.10 ^d	1.10 ^a	30.00 ^c
Buahinea nufescens	7.60 ^e	211.70 ^d	6.00 ^b	0.60 ^e	6.30 ⁱ
Ceiba pentendra	10.40 ^c	271.80ª	2.50 ^e	0.70 ^d	27.50 ^d
Celtis integuifolis	19.30 ^a	112.80 ^f	10.40 ^a	0.80 ^c	25.00 ^e
Khaya senegalensis	7.80 ^e	265.70 ^b	2.50 ^e	1.10 ^a	11.50 ⁱ
Kigalia Africana	9.00 ^d	102.50 ^h	1.70 ^f	0.90 ^b	40.00 ^b
Poupartia sirrea	10.10 ^c	256.70 ^c	5.60 ^c	1.20ª	120.00ª
SEM	0.06	1.94	0.05	0.04	0.44


Table 2. Macro minerals concerntration of semi-arid browses of Nigeria (g kg⁻¹ DM)

a,b,c,d=mean values along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); Ca=Calcium; P=Phosphorus; Mg=Magnesium; Na=Sodium; K=Potassium; SEM= Standard error of means.

Browse Forages	Fe	Zn	Со	Mn	Se	Ni
dansonia digitata	4.840 ^b	7.110 ^ª	0.007	0.507 ^d	0.145	0.026
nageisus celecarpus	4.702 ^b	1.664 ^e	0.004	0.319 ^f	0.085	0.011
nalgeosus leocarpus	3.087 ^c	2.403 ^d	0.012	1.082 ^c	0.153	0.015
atryospermum paradoxum	1.982 ^e	1.632 ^e	0.006	0.388 ^f	0.168	0.006
uahinea nufescens	3.688 ^c	1.623 ^e	0.009	2.675 ^b	0.180	0.032
eiba pentendra	1.216 ^f	1.220 ^e	0.003	0.410 ^e	0.114	0.007
eltis integuifolis	3.126 ^c	2.500 ^d	0.006	0.457 ^e	0.130	0.027
'haya senegalensis	2.973 ^d	5.725 ^b	0.005	0.512 ^d	0.157	0.009
íigalia Africana	16.24ª	4.240 ^c	0.012	2.923ª	0.062	0.023
oupartia sirrea	1.618 ^c	1.064 ^f	0.007	0.234 ^g	0.149	0.085

SEM	0.55	0.26	0.0006 ^{NS}	0.14	0.09 ^{NS}	0.008 ^{NS}

a, b, c, d=mean values along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different P<0.05); Fe=Iron; Zn=Zinc; Co=Cobalt; Mn=Manganese; Se=Selinium; Ni=Nickel; SEM=Standrd error of means



Browse Forages	а	b	a+b	С	t	Y
Adansonia digitata	3.33	25.00	28.33	0.032	12.00	11.33
Anageisus celecarpus	3.67	4.33	8.00	0.046	14.00	5.00
Analgeosus leocarpus	1.33	2.67	4.00	0.053	16.50	3.50
Batryospermum paradoxum	1.00	4.67	5.67	0.057	11.00	3.00
Buahenia nufescens	1.33	11.00	12.33	0.046	18.00	6.67
Ceiba pentendra	2.00	6.33	8.33	0.050	14.00	4.67
Celtis integuifolis	2.33	7.00	9.33	0.034	10.00	4.33
Khaya senegalensis	2.33	4.00	6.33	0.042	16.00	4.00
Kigalia africana	2.67	6.00	8.67	0.028	13.00	4.00

Poupartia sirrea	3.00	19.67	22.67	0.035	10.00	8.33
SEM	1.21	2.67	2.12	0.019	1.37	1.21

a,b,c,d=mean values along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); SEM=Standard error of means